

Shipyards Infrastructure Optimization Program

Program Overview

Program Management Office 555 (SIOP)

SIOP PSNS PMO Field Office

21 March 2023



Shipyard Infrastructure Optimization Program-SIOP



Problem Statement

Condition, capacity, and configuration of facilities, dry docks, and equipment at the four public shipyards contribute to inadequate throughput and loss of fleet operational availability (Ao)

Baseline Performance

Inadequate facilities and equipment led to maintenance delays that contributed, in part, to >1,300 lost operational days for carriers and >12,500 lost operational days for submarines (FY00-16, GAO-17-548)

Measures (2018 Report to Congress (RTC)):

- Dry dock capability/survivability gaps: 14 of 18 certified dry docks
- Average production shop facility condition rating: 66/80 (poor)
- Average age of equipment: 24 years (industry standard = 7-10 years)

Root Causes / Priority Levers

Shipyard infrastructure historically lags behind new platform development

Average production shop facility age for all shipyards (SY) is 76 years

Average annual Facilities, Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization (FSRM) investment below sustainment model

Average Capital Investment Program (CIP) investment below requirement

Infrastructure not procured as aligned systems according to master plans, resulting in inefficient layouts and configurations

SIOP Definition and Lines of Effort (LOE)

SIOP is a holistic investment plan that integrates all infrastructure and industrial plant equipment (IPE) investments at the Navy's four public shipyards in order to meet nuclear fleet maintenance requirements, as well as improve Navy maintenance capabilities by expanding shipyard capacity and optimizing shipyard configuration.



LOE 1. Construct and recapitalize dry docks

- New capabilities to support dimensions and utility requirements of Virginia-class submarines and Ford-class aircraft carriers
- Foundational investment to meet class maintenance plans



Create capability for new platforms
Increase capacity for existing platforms



LOE 2. Recapitalize and reconfigure infrastructure toward improved industrial performance

- Phased industrial modeling and simulation process
- Advanced planning and engineering studies inform optimum shipyard configuration



Recapitalize aged infrastructure



LOE 3. Modernize Industrial Plant Equipment

- Capital equipment (>\$250K) to maintain, modernize, and establish new industrial capabilities
- Focus on reducing total ownership cost of ship depot-maintenance operations



Modernize towards optimization

LOE 1 – Dry Docks

Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard – New Dry Dock 5

On plan for FY28 Docking of USS Virginia-Class (Award FY23)




Puget Sound Naval Shipyard – New Multi-Mission Dry Dock

On plan for FY34 Docking of USS Ford-Class (Award FY26)



Norfolk Naval Shipyard – Dry Dock 8 Upgrades

On plan for FY28 Docking of USS Ford-Class (Awarded FY22)



Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – New Multi-Mission Dry Docks

On plan for FY27 Docking of USS Virginia-Class (Awarded FY21)



Current PSNS Dry Dock Capabilities

PSNS & IMF is a National Historic Landmark District.

This requires compliance with National Historic Preservation Act (adding project planning/development time and cost)

Environmental planning is holistically considered

Most buildings and structures were built during three distinct historical periods:

1891 – 1919 (DD1: 1896; DD2: 1913; DD3: 1919)

Establishment of the Navy Yard at Puget Sound. The shipyards basic physical configuration was established during this time.

1941 – 1945 (DD4: 1940; DD5: 1941)

WW II build-up, the workforce at PSNS was nearly 32,500

1959 – 1975 (DD6: 1962)

Post-Korean War build-up to support America's nuclear Navy

20026 – Future (Multi-Mission Dry Dock, ~2034)

Shipyard Infrastructure Optimization Program (SIOP): DD6 cannot support Ford-class aircraft carriers. After the last Los Angeles-class availability (recycling), DD1 and DD3 will be **functionally obsolete**




Puget Sound Waterfront circa 1932 (Dry Docks 1-3)



USS Nimitz (CVN 68) docking in DD #6

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

Alternative 2 – Multi-Mission Dry Dock @ Dry Dock 3




Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

Alternative 3 – Multi-Mission Dry Dock @ Mooring-A



LOE 2 - Shipyard (o): Baseline Assessment

Status Quo – Traditional investment, reactive solutions to infrastructure degradation



Workflow Unchanged (Infrastructure)
Facilities Recapitalized (Reactionary)


Legend

- Utilities:
- Planned:
- Movement:
- Workcenters:
- Facility Condition:

*Shipyard Throughput Gauge = Material component of sustained throughput toward Class Maintenance Plan

LOE 2 – Integrated Planning Approach


Master planning, industrial modeling and simulation, ship maintenance schedules



Iterative digital and infrastructure planning is programmed and underway at all shipyards

LOE 2 - Shipyard (x): SIOP Transformation

Deliberate Recapitalization, balancing Return on Investment (ROI) toward improved throughput



Proactive Facilities Modernization | Enabling Continual Workflow Improvement

Legend

- Utilities:
- Planned Movement:
- Workcenters:
- Facility Condition:

*Shipyard Throughput Gauge = Material component of sustained throughput toward Class Maintenance Plan